



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,746	02/28/2002	Travis J. Parry	10012900-1	8769

7590 02/28/2007
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER	
LASHLEY, LAUREL L	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2132	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,746	PARRY, TRAVIS J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laurel Lashley	2132	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,5-17,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,5-17,20-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to amendments received 12/11/2006. Applicant's amendments with respect to pending claims 1, 5 – 17 and 20 – 21, and cancelled claims 2 – 4, 22 – 23 and previously cancelled claims 18 -19 have been accepted.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is Applicant's assertion that Docter does not expressly or inherently identically describes a printer including a filtering program stored in a memory device or firmware of said printer as described in claim 1 of Applicant's claimed invention. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Docter describe a system capable of filtering data for a particular user (see column 3, lines 1 – 3) and further states that the teachings of the invention can be applied to "any device containing a processor or a controller capable of executing instructions" (see column 3, lines 20 – 22). Furthermore, Docter teaches that through the functionality of a system bus, various components are coupled and through any processing device (i.e. printer), implementation of the steps necessary to perform data filtering operations can be achieved (see column 9, lines 51 – 56 and Figure 11). According to these assertions, the Examiner believes Docter to be relevant since the data filtering system function capable of realizing it functionality in a printer thereby controlling printing of a file.

For claims 6, 7, 8, 13, 14 and 15, Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments that Docter does not teach the filtering program interacting with the processor whereby yielding results based on undesirable characteristics, desirable characteristics and lacking an undesirable characteristic and has a desirable characteristic. Examiner equates the

Art Unit: 2132

first filter criteria of Docter to be equivalent to an undesired characteristic and the second filter criteria of Docter to be equivalent to desired characteristic (see column 10, lines 32 – 48). Since the invention of Docter describes a system capable of filtering data for a particular user (see column 3, lines 1 – 3) and further states that the teachings of the invention can be applied to “any device containing a processor or a controller capable of executing instructions” (see column 3, lines 20 – 22), the Examiner interprets this to mean the processor (i.e. printer) can allow or prevent printing based on the specified criteria.

For claims 9, 10, 16 and 17, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant’s arguments that Docter does not teach the components of the undesirable and desirable characteristics. Examiner believes that Docter teaches that the first and second criteria are defined by distinct attributes as in Applicant’s case with the undesirable and desirable characteristics (see column 8, lines 40 – 52 and column 10, lines 52 – 54 and 34 – 42).

As for Applicant’s arguments in regards to claims 11 and 20 that Docter does not describe “receiving the unfiltered printing packet at said printer...evaluating at said printer...said printing packet by a processor housed within said printer” respectively, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Docter asserts a system capable of filtering data for a particular user (see column 3, lines 1 – 3) and further states that the teachings of the invention can be applied to “any device containing a processor or a controller capable of executing instructions” (see column 3, lines 20 – 22). As such the Examiner believes that a processor device (i.e. printer) as taught by Docter is capable of implementing the steps necessary to perform data filtering operations (see column 9, lines 51 – 56 and Figure 11). Furthermore, since the printer is responsible for performing the steps of data filtering, the Examiner also believes that it is inherent that the device would initially receive unfiltered printing packets since such instructions are housed within the printer. The data of Docter is profile data (see Figure 4), which is subject

Art Unit: 2132

to the filtering criteria (see Figure 8a, b and c) and contains data elements of data recipients or roles (see Abstract, lines 13 – 15). Therefore the Examiner believes that it is inherent that data received by a device is of that device-type meaning that a printer, will receive/process instructions that are printer-type (i.e. printing packet and packet including a file to be printed). Kenworthy in US Patent No. 6317837 substantiates this assertion where the network attached devices (specifically a printer) are depicted as being subjected to device specific firewalls (see Figure 1 and column 3, lines 8 – 11 and 20 – 31). In Kenworthy, devices are protected from all traffic/access foreign to it; therefore it is inherent that a printer would only receive printing traffic (i.e. printing packet and packet including a file to be printed).

For reasons indicated above, the Examiner maintains the rejections of claims 1, 5 – 17, 20 and 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2132

3. Claims 1, 5 –17, 20 - 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Docter in US Patent No. 6330610 (hereinafter US '610).

As it relates to claim 1, US '610 teaches:

A printing system, comprising:

a printer including: (see Figure 10, and column 10, line 7: where it is inherent that printing can be preformed)

a processor; and (see column 9, line 52)

a printing component in communication with said processor (see column 9, line 56); and

a filtering program stored in a memory device or firmware of said printer and associated with said processor (see Figure 10 and column 9, lines 51 – 65) so as to control printing of a file by said printing component based on at least one of a presence or absence of at least one prespecified characteristic from a packet including said file, (see Figure 11; column 1, line 66: a system for filtering data; column 10, line 10 – column 11, lines 1 – 7) each at least one prespecified characteristic independently selected from a group consisting of: a file type, a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file size, a password, time of transmission, cumulative number of files sent by a user, cumulative number of files sent by a user over a given time, file string, and time-consuming print commands. (see column 3, lines 30 – 40: any number of filtering parameters or attributes may be used to filter data).

For claim 5, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 1, wherein said at least one prespecified characteristic comprises at least one of an undesirable characteristic (see column 2, line 2: first filter criteria) and a desirable characteristic (see column 2, line 5: second filter criteria).

Art Unit: 2132

For claim 6, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 5, wherein said filtering program causes said processor to prevent said printing component from printing a file of a packet having at least one said undesirable characteristic (see column 10, lines 32 – 33: where filtering is based on data received; and column 2, lines 14 – 15: where the profile data set contains elements associated with particular class of recipients).

For claim 7, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 5, wherein said filtering program instructs said processor to cause said printing component to print a file of a packet having said desirable characteristic (see column 10, line 62: where filter data processing code determines the destination of packet).

For claim 8, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 5, wherein said filtering program instructs said processor to cause said printing component to print said file only if said packet lacks said undesirable characteristic and has said desirable characteristic (column 3, line 17: where unwanted data is eliminated).

For claim 9, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 5, wherein said undesirable characteristic comprises one of a file type, a file string, a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file size, and at least one prespecified command (column 2, line 2; and column 3, lines 34 – 40: where it is inherent that criteria can be designated within specified criteria).

For claim 10, US '610 teaches:

The printing system of claim 5, wherein said desirable characteristic comprises one of a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file type, and a password (column 2, line 5; and column 3, lines 34 – 40).

Art Unit: 2132

As it pertains to claim 11, US '610 teaches:

A method for filtering data received by a printer, comprising:

transmitting an unfiltered printing packet comprising at least one file from a source computer, across a network, to a printer of said network (see column 10, line 66: where instances can be altered to Applicant's invention) ;

receiving said unfiltered printing packets at said printer;

evaluating at said printer at least one prespecified characteristic of said printing packet by a processor housed within said printer, each at least one prespecified characteristic independently selected from a group consisting of: a file type, a file string, a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file size, a password, time of transmission, cumulative number of files sent by a user, cumulative number of files sent by a user over a given time, and time-consuming print commands. (see column 3, lines 30 – 40: any number of filtering parameters or attributes may be used to filter data; column 10, line 32: where evaluation is performed by the data filter code which determines packet content based on coding); and

controlling processing of said at least one file of said printing packet by said printer based on said evaluating (see column 10, line 62: where controlling is performed by the filter data processing code which determines the destination of packet).

For claim 12, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 11, wherein said evaluating at least one prespecified characteristic comprises evaluating at least one of an undesirable characteristic and a desirable characteristic (see column 10, line 32).

For claim 13, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein said controlling comprises preventing processing of said at

Art Unit: 2132

least one file of said printing packet by said printer if said printing packet has at least one said undesirable characteristic (see column 3, line 17 and column 10, line 62).

For claim 14, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein said controlling comprises permitting processing of said at least one file of said printing packet by said printer if said printing packet has said desirable characteristic (see column 3, line 17 and column 10, line 62).

For claim 15, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein said controlling comprises permitting processing of said at least one file of said printing packet by said printer if said printing packet lacks said undesirable characteristic and has said desirable characteristic (see column 3, line 17 and column 10, line 62).

For claim 16, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein said evaluating comprises evaluating said printing packet for at least one said undesirable characteristic comprising at least one of a file type, a file string, a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file size, and at least one prespecified command (see column 10, line 32 and column 2, line 2).

For claim 17, US '610 teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein said evaluating comprises evaluating said printing packet for at least one said desirable characteristic comprising at least one of a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file type, and a password (see column 10, line 32 and column 2, line 5).

As it relates to claim 20, US '610 teaches:

A system for filtering a file transmitted to printer; comprising:
a printer comprising:

Art Unit: 2132

a processor in communication with a network across which at least one file has been transmitted; and (see Figure 10 and column 3, lines 26 – 29)

a filtering program associated with said processor so as to control printing of said at least one file by said printer based on at least one of a presence or absence of at least one prespecified characteristic from a packet including said at least one file (see Figure 11; column 1, line 66; and column 10, line 10 – column 11, lines 1 – 7), each at least one prespecified characteristic independently selected from a group consisting of: a file type, a file string, a source computer identifier, a user identifier, a file size, a password, time of transmission, cumulative number of files sent by a user, cumulative number of files sent by a user over a given time, and time-consuming print commands, said packet prior to filtering, further including the instructions for said printer, the instructions comprising: (see column 3, lines 30 – 40: any number of filtering parameters or attributes may be used to filter data)

information about a source of media onto which printing of said at least one file is to be effected, information about orientation in which said at least one file is to be printed on a media, information about whether printing is to be effected on one or two sides of a media, information about a number of copies to be printed, or information about whether multiple copies should be collated (see

column 3, lines 20 – 22: where a printer ("any type of device") is "capable of executing instructions" of printer type).

For claim 21, US '610 teaches:

The system of claim 20, wherein said filtering program is stored by at least one of a memory device and firmware (see Figure 10 – 11 and column 9, line 59: ROM; and column 10, line 23: interface code).

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krumel in US Patent No. 7013482 discloses a rule-based data packet filtering system.
5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurel Lashley whose telephone number is 571-272-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, alt Fridays btw 7:30 am & 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laurel Lashley
Examiner
Art Unit 2132

LLL
26 February 2007

Gilberto Barron Jr.
GILBERTO BARRON JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100